

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/761,711	01/18/2001	Takashi Haga	107101-00028	4744
7	590 05/11/2004	EXAMINER		
ARENT, FOX, KINTNER, PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			TRAN,	LEN
1050 CONNEC	CTICUT AVENUE, N.W.	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036-5339		1725	

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED MAY 1 1 2004 TC 1700

¥	•				
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/761	,711	HAGA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
		Len Tr		1725	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on t	he cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	dress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA masions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ation. 1ys, a reply within the sry period will apply and by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tile tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>18 January 20</u>	<u>001</u> .		
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is	non-final.	• .	
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of				merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from o			
	on Papers		·		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Entre drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or to the drawing(s correction is requ) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CF	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
a)[13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a cknowledgment is made of a claim for donce a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78. 1. The translation of the foreign languated acknowledgment is made of a claim for deference was included in the first sentence.	cuments have be cuments have be the priority docur Bureau (PCT R or a list of the ce domestic priority the first senten age provisional	een received. een received in Applicat ments have been receiv ule 17.2(a)). rtified copies not receive under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ce of the specification o application has been rec under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National and the sed. e) (to a provisional or in an Application ceived. and/or 121 since and the services are services and the services and the services and the services are services as a service and the services are services are services and the services are services and the services are services are services are services are services and the services are s	application) Data Sheet. a specific
Attachmen	He)				
1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/761,711

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-6, and 9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 –12 of copending Application No. 10/235,887. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because US application number '887 disclose a recirculation pipe for introducing the gas with the branch flange. It is obvious that the pipe is made of metal, since it has been known in the art and in addition the pipe is connected in thermal contact with the exhaust pipe.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 1725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1, 3-6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akazaki et al (US 5,946,906).

Akazaki et al disclose a system for purifying exhaust gas comprising a bypass exhaust gas passage, switch-over valve, branched from an exhaust pipe, an adsorbent installed in the bypass exhaust gas passage, and a recirculation pipe to recirculate unburned components. The recirculation pipe is inherently made of metal and is connected to the bypass exhaust gas passage close to the exhaust pipe. The recirculation pipe is connected to an air intake system of the

Art Unit: 1725

engine at one end and is connected to the chamber, at the other end. The recirculation pipe is connected to the body of the engine by a metal support (abstract and figure 1, col. 4, lines 58-65, col. 16, lines 7-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akazaki et al (US '906) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Voss et al (US 6,256,984).

Akazaki et al disclose the claimed invention above in paragraph 3, but fail to teach a coating or film ion the inner wall of the pipe.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,711

Art Unit: 1725

However, Voss et al disclose a coating on the inner wall of an exhaust pipe (abstract) for the purpose of thermal insulating the pipe.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a coating or film as taught by Voss et al, in Akazaki et al in order to insulate the pipe.

7. Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akazaki et al (US '906) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Usui (US 5,676,176).

Akazaki et al disclose a system for purifying exhaust gas comprising a bypass exhaust gas passage, switch-over valve, branched from an exhaust pipe, an adsorbent installed in the bypass exhaust gas passage, and a recirculation pipe to recirculate unburned components. The recirculation pipe is connected to the bypass exhaust gas passage close to the exhaust pipe. The recirculation pipe is connected to an air intake system of the engine at one end and is connected to the chamber, at the other end. The recirculation pipe is connected to the body of the engine by a metal support (abstract and figure 1, col. 4, lines 58-65, col. 16, lines 7-10).

Akazaki et al lacks the disclosure of the pipe being made of metal and having a corrugated portion.

However, Usui discloses a corrugated EGR pipes, made of metal, used in automobiles for the purpose of withstand vibrations (col. 1, lines 5-11).

Application/Control Number: 09/761,711

Art Unit: 1725

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a corrugated pipe as taught by Usui, in Akazaki et al in order to withstand vibration due to its flexibility.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Len Tran

Page 6

Examiner

Kiley Stoner A4 1725

- My Stoner A4 1725

LT February 4, 2004

LIST OF REFERENCES CLED BY APPLICANT (Use several streets if necessary) U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS EXAMINER INITIAL AA AB AC AD AC AD AC AC AD AC AC AC	FORM PTO-1	1449	OIP	S. DEPARTA	MENT OF COMMERCE	ATTY. DOCKET	· -		SERIAL	NO.	1 of
STANSBURGE STA)	TRADEMARK OFFICE		39		09/76	1,711	
STANSBURGE STA	LIST	OF	REFERENCES	CITED BY APP	PLICANT	i	CA et el				
January 18, 2001			TRANS	ME	_,_,,,,		SA et al.				
EXAMINER DOCUMENT DATE NAME CLASS CLASS FILING			(Use several shee	ets if necessary)			2001	1			
EXAMINER INITIAL DOCUMENT NO. DATE NAME CLASS SUB- FILING DATE NAME CLASS SUB- CLASS CLASS AB			V	LIC DA	TENT DOCUMENT						
AA AB AB AC AC AD AE AF AG 10-153112 06/1998 Japan AH 2-275043 11/1990 Japan Yes AB 3-71427 03/1996 Japan Yes AB 3-71427 03/1996 Japan Yes AF			DOCUMENT				T		T		
AB	INITIAL		NO.	DATE	NAME	<u> </u>	CLASS	CLASS		DATE	
AC		AA					 	 	┼		·
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS DOCUMENT DATE COUNTRY CLASS CLASS VES NO PAI V		AB	 					ļ			
AE		AC					ļ	1			
AF		AD			·			1	1		
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS DOCUMENT NO. DATE COUNTRY CLASS CLASS TRANSLATIC VES NO PAI VES	,	AE							1		
DOCUMENT NO. DATE COUNTRY CLASS SUB- TRANSLATIC YES NO PA		AF	,					1			
DOCUMENT NO. DATE COUNTRY CLASS SUB- TRANSLATIC YES NO PA		L		FOREIGN	PATENT DOCUME	NTS	·	. f			
NO. DATE COUNTRY CLASS VES NO PAIL IV AG 10-153112 06/1998 Japan Ves IV AH 2-275043 11/1990 Japan Ves IV AI 8-71427 03/1996 Japan Ves IV AJ 7-33875 08/1995 Japan Ves IV AK 10-148608 06/1998 Japan Ves IV AL 10-159544 06/1998 Japan Ves IV AL 10-159544 06/1998 Japan Ves OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) AM AN AN AN AN AN AN AN			DOCUMENT	TOREION	TATENT BOOGINE	IVI G	<u> </u>	SUR	TRA	NSI AT	ION
Name				DATE	COUNT	RY	CLASS				ART.
AI 8-71427 03/1996 Japan Yes V	V	AG	10-153112	06/1998	Japan				Yes		
V AJ 7-33875 08/1995 Japan Yes V AK 10-148608 06/1998 Japan Yes CT AL 10-159544 06/1998 Japan Yes OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) AM AN AN AN AN AD EXAMINER AD	দ	АН	2-275043	11/1990	Japan				Yes		
C	k	Ai	8-71427	03/1996	Japan				Yes		
T	দ	AJ	7-33875	08/1995	Japan	÷			Yes		
OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) AM AN AD EXAMINER P DATE CONSIDERED DATE CONSIDERED OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)	น	AK	10-148608	06/1998	Japan				Yes		L
AM AN AD AD AD AD AD AD AD AD AD	प	AL	10-159544	06/1998	Japan				Yes		
AM AN TC 1700 MAIL AD EXAMINER P DATE CONSIDERED RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO RO R						to Partinant	Page Et	~			
AN AN RECTION ALL ROLL ROLL ROLL ROLL ROLL ROLL ROLL			T	ENERGES (Includin	ng Addior, Tille, Da	ie, r erunent	ages, Li	<u>v./</u>			
EXAMINER P DATE CONSIDERED ROLL ROLL ROLL ROLL ROLL ROLL ROLL ROL		AM									
EXAMINER P DATE CONSIDERED REST											
EXAMINER P DATE CONSIDERED REST											
EXAMINER 9 TO DATE CONSIDERED 8 5 TO		AN									
EXAMINER 9 TO DATE CONSIDERED 8 5 TO									7		
EXAMINER P T DATE CONSIDERED 8 5 1	İ							11			
EXAMINER 9 TO DATE CONSIDERED 8 5 TO	1	AO						8	?P?	E.	
EXAMINER P T DATE CONSIDERED 8 5 1								Z	4	ने	
DATE CONSIDERED 2/1/2/2			2 —		<u> </u>				26	7	
3////	EXAMINER		on land					တို	<u> </u>	Ö	
×17104 2					0	2/4/04		<u> </u>			

Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No. 09/761,711	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination HAGA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Len Tran	1725	Page 1 of 1	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-5,946,906	09-1999	Akazaki et al.	60/278
	В	US-5,676,176	10-1997	Usui, Masayoshi	138/121
	С	US-6,256,984	07-2001	Voss et al.	60/299
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	.b					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	,
	V	
	w	
	×	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.